

**Submissions Received through Your Say Macleay:**

Submitted By	Comments	Response
<p>Respondent 1: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b>            Unsure if the cafe access from creek will be a proper crossing or not and also regarding safety, I wonder if you couldn't just have a one way loop around the first set of parking bays, with two way only near the fishing wharf?            Also sometimes angled parking in consideration of one way direction can facilitate speed of parking and exiting.</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b>            Improved parking instead of the current haphazard arrangement. Improved picnic facilities. And loving the amenities block that has been installed.</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b>            Not answered</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b>            Well done and congratulations on making our already beautiful town even better - something to be proud of.</p>	<p>The key reason for retaining the two-way traffic direction is to allow the vehicles wishing to proceed to the wharf to do so with less impact on the parking area. Vehicle behaviour and pedestrian safety is a key consideration, which is why there will be a marked pedestrian crossing in the location shown within the revised concept plan.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>

<p>Respondent 2: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> From the description/design it appears that the upgrade is largely a new car park.</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> Very little. It might make more sense if some idea of what is planned for the northern end of the reserves upgrade was included in the information provided.</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> Very little green space. No real attempt to enhance the natural beauty of the area . Very poor design of any new landscape features</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> Not answered</p>	<p>The upgrade certainly focuses on the formalisation of car parking spaces to ensure safety and order during peak visiting times. The design also focuses on increasing green space and the inclusion of further recreational assets.</p> <p>Due to funding constraints, the area highlighted within the revised design has been the focus of this staged upgrade approach.</p> <p>Green space has increased substantially in the revised design, and all recreational assets have been designed to be in keeping with the natural environment, including plant species and landscaping.</p>
---	--	---

<p>Respondent 3: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The plans need to include a safe connection between the park (between the church and new units) and the back creek bridge. The park is used for pedestrian access from Gregory Street to Back Creek. In the revised upgrade plans, there is still no safe crossing for pedestrians once they walk through the park and arrive at the Back Creek parking area.</li> <li>2. The plans need to include safe pedestrian access along Buchanan Drive to back creek. This is a very busy pedestrian area. Should either be a shared pedestrian/traffic zone, or need to have a separated, wide pedestrian area along the side of Buchanan Drive.</li> <li>3. Cars currently drive too fast along Buchanan Drive to the fishing spot at the northern. If the road was made one-way in front of the cafe (travelling south), then cars would need to slow down to access the fishing spot. The revised upgrade plans show two-way in front of the cafe. There are too many pedestrians in this area for two-way vehicle movement.</li> <li>4. The pedestrian path from the bridge to the fishing spot needs to be wide. People with double prams, joggers, older walkers, etc. all walk along this strip so it should be as wide as possible to cater for safe, two-way accessible (pedestrian) movement .</li> </ol> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> The larger green space near the bridge</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> Please refer to the four points I made at question 8 above. This is a great opportunity to make the area safe for all, to reduce the speed and dominance of cars, and to connect our walking routes around south west rocks (that is, to connect to Gregory Street via the park and to Fig Tree Lane via Buchanan Drive, as well as to horseshoe bay.) I understand there is also a</p>	<p>This has been included in the plan, by the inclusion of a formally marked pedestrian crossing.</p> <p>Unfortunately, Buchanan Drive is not part of the current project scope, however this will be a key consideration for future upgrades.</p> <p>While there are benefits in making the section of road in front of the café one way, it has remained two way to have a lesser impact on the parking area, allowing more time for users to park without impeding on through traffic. Traffic calming devices will be used, including a formally marked pedestrian crossing.</p> <p>The pedestrian path is designed at 2.1m in width to the north of the footbridge, this is approximately 300mm wider than the width of the footbridge, which is 1.8m wide.</p> <p>Noted, the revised plan includes a pathway that crosses the carpark to connect to the café and facilities on the western side of the car park.</p>
---	---	--

	<p>planned pathway north of the new units through the bushland. A connection to this pathway should also be included in the revised plan.</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b>          Although it is beyond the scope of the back creek project, safe access for pedestrians between Fig Tree Lane and Gordon Young Drive and the direction of the primary school needs to be considered. There isn't a pathway on the western side of Gregory Street (between Fig tree Lane and Gordon Young Drive). There is a narrow path along the eastern side of Gregory Street (which isn't of sufficient width to cater for path users - the road is often used instead). And there isn't a safe crossing point from the eastern side of Gregory Street to Fig Tree Lane. A refuge or other safe point for pedestrians would be very helpful.</p>	<p>These further comments have been noted and will be included in future considerations for footpath linkages and upgrades.</p>
<p>Respondent 4:          Resident in          South West          Rocks</p>	<p>No comments were provided, however the respondent generally agreed with the revised upgrade plans.</p>	

<p>Respondent 5: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> Great improvement on previous facilities.</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b></p> <p>Organised parking. Pathway from cafe to parking and creek. Picnic facilities. Parking and pathways for the disabled.</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b></p> <p>Will there be a connecting footpath from the walkway around Point Briner?</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b></p> <p>It will be great to provide adequate facilities for this increasingly popular swimming, kayaking, walking and fishing area.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>This is beyond the current scope of works; however, it is intended that this connecting footpath will be constructed during future upgrades.</p>
<p>Respondent 6: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> I am very pleased with the revised plan</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> Parking is a major issue especially for people with limited mobility. The revised plan has provided for multiple use of the available space which is very pleasing.</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> Not answered</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> Well done KSC.</p>	<p>Noted</p>

<p>Respondent 7: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> I cannot see the need to dredge so that there is deep enough water for boating vessels to dock. On the high tide this area is so popular with swimming that to introduce facilities for marine vessels to dock is a no go.</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> The eventual inclusion of a shower off the amenities. Allocated parking</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b></p> <p>I do not see the need for two turning circles especially outside amenities. This would be a high traffic flow area so why try to get traffic to turn here when it is full with people especially children? This has never been a problem in the past. The one up the end near the original wharf functions fine.</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> Not answered</p>	<p>Noted, however this comment is beyond the scope of this project.</p> <p>The key reason for retaining the two-way traffic direction and turning circle area is to allow the vehicles wishing to proceed to the wharf to do so with less impact on the parking area. Speed of parking and vehicle behaviour is a key consideration, which is why there will be a marked pedestrian crossing to improve pedestrian safety.</p>
---	---	--

<p>Respondent 8: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> The plan looks fairly simple and does not make major changes to the area. Have the local Aboriginal people who live at Figtree been consulted about this plan?</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> No major changes and makes good green space.</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> Not answered</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> What are the plans re dogs? I am not impressed with the amount of dog droppings left in this area which is a disgusting health hazard. There needs to be very clear signage about dogs on leads, fines re not picking up droppings etc and policing of the rules re dogs.</p>	<p>Direct engagement has not yet taken place; however, this will occur prior to construction, and as a result of this consultation there will be further discussion in relation to artwork with the local community.</p> <p>This will most certainly occur, in addition dog sanitary bags will be available within the greenspace to encourage cleanly behaviour.</p>
<p>Respondent 9: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> A save walking/cycle path needs to be made along road going to creek</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> Not answered</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> A save walking/cycle path needs to be made along road going to creek</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> Not answered</p>	<p>Noted. A footpath or cycleway along Buchanan Drive is not included in the scope of this project, however it will be considered in future upgrades.</p>

<p>Respondent 10: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> I feel that's maybe we have lost some car space, the reason I mention this is —Holiday being the most time we have to accomodate large in take os people plus cars, more so if the main beach and horse shoe beach are closed ,because of blue bottles (A couple of years ago I sent to.the mayor a photo of the car park, with both side of the road and two Down the centre)</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> I am very impressed with them, The hole layout Is very acceptable, With a little bit of fine tuning, I do believe this area with be the show case of s.w.r. Let's get it going</p>	<p>The car spaces have been maximised in the revised design, it is difficult to determine the amount of spaces in the current arrangement, however the proposed revised design (roundabout excluded) offers to most spaces of any concept proposed.</p> <p><b>Royal Haskoning DHV concept design:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This concept design has allowed for 24 parking spaces, excluding spaces allocated to disabled parking.</li> <li>• Disabled parking has been allocated in two locations totalling 4 spaces.</li> </ul> <p><b>Revised Concept Design (with turnaround area included):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This concept design has allowed for 25 parking spaces, excluding spaces allocated to disabled parking.</li> <li>• Disabled parking has been allocated in two locations, totalling 4 spaces</li> </ul> <p><b>Revised Concept Design (with turnaround area excluded):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This concept design has allowed for 41 parking spaces, excluding spaces allocated to disabled parking.</li> <li>• Disabled parking has been allocated in two locations, totalling 4 spaces</li> </ul> <p>Refer: <a href="http://www.kempsey.nsw.gov.au/council/meetings/2020/2020-06-16/pubs/agenda-ordinary-council-meeting-16-june-2020.pdf">http://www.kempsey.nsw.gov.au/council/meetings/2020/2020-06-16/pubs/agenda-ordinary-council-meeting-16-june-2020.pdf</a> for more details.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
--	--	--



	<p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> I did not notice if the road from Gregory street had been widen or not ,Also is the footpath all the way up tp Gregory street</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> Haven been involved with area since the Lions club ( I am a member ) sited the ramp ,and the small grassed area,The only thing I can say is a BIG thank you to the Kempsey Shire Council for forging ahead with this wonderful project ,Once again on behalf of the users</p>	<p>A footpath or cycleway along Buchanan Drive is not included in the scope of this project, however it will be considered in future upgrades.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
<p>Respondent 11: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> Not answered</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> The addition of bbq areas and tables will make the area even more popular and usable at all times of the year, the amenities are a fantastic addition</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> Directional traffic and parking might be worthwhile (make people go clockwise around centre parking for example) as cars go pretty fast through there currently. Hopefully there will be plenty of room for the cafe parking, the island/ centre parking and the creek frontage parking.</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> Thank you for making one of the most popular places in town even more appealing and accessible for all. I have noticed some of the plastic caps on the new platform have been smashed unfortunately, maybe they need to be steel? people are putting rubbish in them currently</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>While there are benefits in making the section of road in front of the café one way, it has remained two way to have a lesser impact on the parking area, allowing more time for users to park without impeding on through traffic. Traffic calming devices will be used, including a formally marked pedestrian crossing to improve pedestrian safety.</p>

<p>Respondent 12: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> The popularity of the area to visitors and residents of SWR requires a thoughtful, user friendly plan so that the natural beauty of the area is preserved.</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> It will allow access for pedestrians, cyclists, and drivers of vehicles to all enjoy the area safely and little impact on the natural environment.</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> The shared walk, cycleway will need to be wide enough to allow safe passage for all users. How it links up with existing tracks around Horseshoe will need to be carefully planned and constructed. It will be used by many on foot and on cycles.</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> The proposed plan is a great initiative to provide residents, visitors and tourists a great attraction to enjoy.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The pathway has been designed at 2.1m wide to the north of the footbridge, with the footbridge being 1.8m wide. Connection to pathways to the north is outside the scope of this project, however it will be considered in future upgrades.</p>
<p>Respondent 13: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> disabled parking is a must</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> people and cars will be separated with new pathways</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> make sure dogs are not allowed to roam free on the new grassed areas and rangers start fining dog owners not doing the right thing</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> Not answered</p>	<p>Noted, there have been a total of four spaces provided.</p> <p>Noted.</p>

<p>Respondent 14: Resident in Kempsey Macleay</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> I feel the first design had more aspects closer to the water's edge with use of green space</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> Marked parking. More green space near water.</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> Not answered</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> Not answered</p>	<p>The revised design has maximised the amount of available car parking spaces, while aiming to substantially increase the amount of usable green space.</p>
<p>Respondent 15: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> We would like to see more Aboriginal artwork and features as this is apart of the figtree Aboriginal community and the community access this area for food and connection on a daily basis.</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> Needs more Aboriginal input including in construction</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> Why is there no local South West Rocks Aboriginal inclusion? None of the footbridge was even offered to the Aboriginal community to create on and use.</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> include The Aboriginal community in all aspects including construction and creative design .</p>	<p>Consultation with regard to artwork and features will occur. It is Kempsey Shire Council's intention to reach the wider community through the use of this forum – "Your Say Macleay". With that being said, we welcome this feedback and will ensure that the community is approached as requested.</p>

<p>Respondent 16: Not a Kempsey Shire Council Resident</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> You are damaging the community and tye uniqueness of what is SWR by developing!</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> Not answered</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> Developing will be cause for future pollution, disruption of natural habitat and impact community</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> Not answered</p>	<p>There is no context provided with this comment, so a response is difficult to consider.</p>
<p>Respondent 17: Tourist / Day Visitor</p>	<p>No comments where provided, the respondent placed “definitely agree” in all of the responses for the revised upgrade plan.</p>	
<p>Respondent 18: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> Maybe picnic tables could be further back from the water entrance and trees could be planted there instead to provide natural shade without blocking the natural beauty of the creek. When allowing large developments in South West Rocks the council should impose a levy for these companies to foot the bill in upgrading community spaces. A footpath needs to be included in the design from Gregory street along Buchanan Drive. I choose to walk to Back Creek with my child in a pram because it is better for the environment and easier than parking. I find it dangerous when walking along Buchanan Drive from Gregory street under the current conditions. Please provide bins nearer to eating areas so people are more likely to make the right choice.</p>	<p>Please refer to the below responses:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Trees have been avoided along the water's edge in this area to ensure that views to the creek are not impeded and that the foreshore is in keeping with the natural environment.</li> <li>2. A footpath along Buchanan Drive is outside the scope of works for this project, however it will certainly be considered in future.</li> <li>3. Bins will be provided within the greenspace identified.</li> </ol>

	<p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> The inclusion of more picnic tables. Footpath towards the ocean. Parking bays away from the waters edge.</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> As per question 8. If new developments want access to places nearby they should have to include these in their own designs of their developments. It is disappointing that large developments are allowed in south west rocks even when council have documented residents concerns about over-development of the area. It is even more disappointing when these developments block the natural beauty for permanent residents. Please consider updating other local infrastructure before allowing for larger populations through the approval of housing developments. We need improved access to larger local library facilities and bulk-billed health care. Our current conditions are limited without the stress of larger town populations.</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> I would like to be contacted by a council member on how local residents can help to improve access to bulk-billed health care and the development of a larger library (which we have been waiting for since 2009 at least).</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>This has been noted, your details have been provided to an appropriate officer.</p>
<p>Respondent 19: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> do like the idea of increasing the green space in front of the boat skid area, however by doing this there is a reduction in car spaces. In the very busy Christmas period vehicles park - used to be able to park (8 across) of now where the amenity block is and is now reduced to 3 spaces. Along the creek (left of bridge) a further 6 vehicles spaces where available, then to the right of the bridge a further 8 which now has already been reduced.</p> <p>Locals/tourists have always then park two deep filtering to single deep parking extending along the rock wall and then parallel parking along the rock wall to the jetty. It seems that this parallel parking is lost, the parking already lost where the toilet block is and more parking to be lost on the left hand side of the bridge as that is going to become green space. Overflow</p>	<p>The revised design has maximised the amount of available car parking spaces, while aiming to substantially increase the amount of usable green space. Rationalising the car park spaces will ensure that driver behaviour is improved and that car parking spaces remain accessible at all times. Informal parking arrangements can lead to spaces being blocked, or lead to reduced visibility of pedestrians in the area. Please note the walking path connectivity to the north is not within the current scope of works for the project.</p>

parking then coniuers both sides on the road to back creek. The amount of vehicles actually uses this space in peak times to what is now planned is a significant reduction. I understand the balancing act that is trying to be achieved, but are you removing all the available space for parallel parking along the rock wall as well to have a walking path and a extension of the green space.

**Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:**

The increase greens space at the foot bridge - but at what loss

**Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.**

Along the rock wall from the foot bridge to the jetty there should be safe access to the creek. The installation of steps built into the rock wall would provide further entry points to the creek and utilize the already green space on the café side.

Currently you can only access the creek near the footbridge, by the installation of at least two step zones (similar to Crescent Head) along the rock wall you are naturally spreading the access out and not creating a bottle neck at the foot bridge and encouraging/opening up the already existing greenspace/picnic area for greater useage. The whole area needs to be a 20KM hour zone or less

**Please provide any final comments:**

The traffic control plan needs more work to identify parking for the entire area - what is now available space (i.e. along the rock wall) looks like it will no longer exists and this is not very clear for the community to make informed comments

The area labelled “Dinghy Skid” on the revised concept design will provide a further access point for pedestrians and for the users of small watercraft.

The parking shown within the revised concept design outlines the scope of work for this project. Further consultation may occur when the scope of work is extended to include the area to the north and south of this project.

<p>Respondent 20: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b></p> <p>I commend Council for the new amenities block which is both attractive with its gumleaf motif, and practical. The new footbridge is excellent too, keeping the visual style of the old one with modern materials. But I feel 58 car parks in the revised plan of the Southern end is far, far too many and risks "pave paradise and put up a parking lot" (Joni Mitchell). I suggest the 6 northern bays on this plan be replaced with green space, and the central bank bank of 35 spaces be reduced with trees to provide shade to park under (preferably local natives of appropriate height so they don't block views from cafe and appartments behind). Or you could provide more shaded parking spaces on Ocean Drive away from the foreshore (but please don't remove any of the beautiful old native trees there).</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b></p> <p>I like the green space closer to the foreshore instead of existing car parking there; bike parking (which could be moved away from the foreshore); disabled spaces near the new amenities; lots of picnic shelters and BBQ; walking access paths from Gregory Street, dinghy skid (presumably for kayaks).</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b></p> <p>As in 8 above, my main concern is that the area will be swamped with cars and ruin the natural beauty. Future planning should include public transport options so the small town of SWR can be accessed with fewer cars. I wonder whether a turning circle for a small bus at Back Creek may be good for public transport, including for the elderly who can no longer drive? (A small bus could do a cycle around SWR including the town centre, the various housing developments and Coles.). SWR has an aging population and future planning must include car-free options.</p>	<p>The revised design has maximised the amount of available car parking spaces, while aiming to substantially increase the amount of usable green space.</p> <p>Trees have been avoided along the water's edge in this area to ensure that views to the creek are not impeded and that the foreshore is in keeping with the natural environment.</p> <p>There are no current plans to remove any mature trees within this reserve, however Council will continue to monitor their condition and if deemed a safety risk, trees may require removal in future.</p> <p>Noted. Please be aware that the design of this space has been completed with small and large buses in mind, both for local residents and tourism benefits.</p>
--	--	---

	<p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> I commend Council for revising your thinking and asking for more feedback. It is so important to get these things right.</p>	
<p>Respondent 21: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> This plan supports green space but with the normal seasonal increase of visitors to the area there is not enough parking, there will be more parking along road if not managed or policed. The owners in Gregory St appartments will have issues with parking above the area during peak season too if it is not well advertised and policed.</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> More natural space and usable creek setting area, supporting the natural habitat of back creek. Safer to access area with less traffic space.</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> How this impacts surrounding residents with less parking space.</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> Not answered</p>	<p>The revised concept design has maximised the amount of available car parking spaces, while aiming to substantially increase the amount of usable green space. The popularity of this location during the seasonal increase in visitors has been a key consideration.</p>
<p>Respondent 22: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> We need to look after the small businesses in the area as a key focus as they help our region survive financially.</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> Not answered</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> Not answered</p>	<p>Agreed.</p>



	<p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> Not answered</p>	
<p>Respondent 23: Resident in South West Rocks &amp; Local Business Owner</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> Far too much space, hard surface devoted to motor cars, not nearly enough greenery.</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> An improvement to the present vast amount of asphalt, but the design shows far too little canopy planting, far too much roadway.</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> Not sure about the hard surfacing materials proposed, nor methods to slow vehicle movements. At the moment the paved area looks like a drag race track. We need to make pedestrian movement the dominant movement, cars being there on good behaviour.</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b> The new amenities block is very attractive, adds to the space. Please ensure that the final design of the area reflects this great start.</p>	<p>Thank you for the comments, the revised concept design has maximised the amount of available car parking spaces, while aiming to substantially increase the amount of usable green space.</p> <p>Pedestrian safety has been a key consideration, the design has allowed for a separated walking path along the frontage to back creek and for a formally marked pedestrian crossing. Traffic calming devices will be employed to improve driver awareness and behaviour.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
<p>Respondent 24: Resident in South West Rocks</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> Not answered</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> Not answered</p>	

	<p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b>  Would like to see at least two outdoor public showers adjacent to the ramp near the foot bridge.</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b>  Not answered</p>	<p>The location of the public shower has been placed adjacent to the amenities block, due to a lower installation cost and reduced ongoing maintenance expense.</p>
<p>Respondent 25:  Resident in Kempsey Macleay</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b>  Please keep the natural flow and not have any distractions to this natural space</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b>  Not answered</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b>  Not answered</p> <p><b>Please provide any final comments:</b>  Not answered</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

<p>Respondent 26: Resident in South West Rocks, Local Tourism Operator and Local Business Owner</p>	<p><b>Please provide comments:</b> has operated in this open space during peak tourist times for the past 3 years and has delivered 5-star service to over 4,000 customers, I have strong concerns around the plans as a current operating business. Will meet the needs of the community into the future: The dinghy skid raises safety issues along with continual maintenance in the way of oyster removal, it also doesn't give any consideration for young families that use the sand bank on high tide. Since operating the feedback from visiting tourists and community members has been how lovely the sand sloped entrance has been because of safe toddler area along with ease and practicality in launching kayaks and Stand up paddle boards. Create a safe and accessible space: <b>(Removed for confidentiality)</b>. Protect the natural beauty: The concrete dinghy skid takes away the natural beauty of the area along with sandy entrance to creek</p> <p><b>Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades:</b> having a carpark with a traffic separation scheme along with more grassed area for the public and visitors to enjoy.</p> <p><b>Please let us know if we have missed anything, or if you have any concerns.</b> Placement of garbage bin need to be looked at. The placement of the two picnic shelters closest to the bridge will clash with the pedestrian flow moving to and from the water.</p>	<p>The proposed dinghy skid is a simple concrete structure, resembling a boat ramp with stairs. While there will be maintenance requirements, they will be relatively simple. Access via the natural sand and accessible ramp will remain.</p> <p>The bollards have been installed in order to create a barrier between the road and the pedestrian / greenspace area. There will be a removable bollard for maintenance purposes, however vehicles (and trailers) will not be permitted to enter the greenspace. There is a short distance only from the carpark and drop-off area to the waterside.</p> <p>Bins will be installed within the greenspace area near the designated BBQ shelter and along the footpath at the entrance to the footbridge.</p>
---	--	--

	<b>Please provide any final comments:</b> <b>(Removed for confidentiality).</b>	
Respondent 27: Resident in Kempsey Macleay	No comments were placed; however, the responses were generally in agreement with the revised plans.	