

Survey Responses

14 October 2016 - 09 August 2020

Submission on the Back Creek Reserve - Revised Draft Plan

Your Say Macleay

Project: Back Creek Foreshore Carpark and Open Space Upgrade



VISITORS

93

CONTRIBUTORS

27

RESPONSES

27

4

Registered

0

Unverified

23

Anonymous

4

Registered

0

Unverified

23

Anonymous



Respondent No: 1

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 10, 2020 15:05:25 pm

Last Seen: Jul 10, 2020 15:05:25 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?** No

Q2. **Your Name** Ally Hinchcliffe

Q3. **Your address** [REDACTED]

Q4. **Postcode** 2431

Q5. **Phone Contact** [REDACTED]

Q6. **Are you a** Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. **How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?**

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Definitely agree
Create a safe and accessible space	Somewhat agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Definitely agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Definitely agree
Enhance the area	Definitely agree
Protect the natural beauty	Somewhat agree

Q8. **Please provide any comments to support your response**

Unsure if the cafe access from creek will be a proper crossing or not and also regarding safety, I wonder if you couldn't just have a one way loop around the first set of parking bays, with two way only near the fishing wharf? Also sometimes angled parking in consideration of one way direction can facilitate speed of parking and exiting.

Q9. **Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades**

Improved parking instead of the current haphazard arrangement. Improved picnic facilities. And loving the amenities block that has been installed.

Q10. **Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns**

not answered

Q11. **You can upload any files or images here** not answered

Q12. **Please provide any final comments**

Well done and congratulations on making our already beautiful town even better - something to be proud of.



Respondent No: 2

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 10, 2020 15:09:45 pm

Last Seen: Jul 10, 2020 15:09:45 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?** Yes

Q2. **Your Name**

[REDACTED]

Q3. **Your address**

[REDACTED]

Q4. **Postcode**

2431

Q5. **Phone Contact**

[REDACTED]

Q6. **Are you a**

Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. **How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?**

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Somewhat disagree
Create a safe and accessible space	Somewhat disagree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Definitely disagree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Definitely disagree
Enhance the area	Definitely disagree
Protect the natural beauty	Definitely disagree

Q8. **Please provide any comments to support your response**

From the description/design it appears that the upgrade is largely a new car park .

Q9. **Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades**

Very little . It might make more sense if some idea of what is planned for the northern end of the reserves upgrade was included in the information provided .

Q10. **Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns**

Very little green space. No real attempt to enhance the natural beauty of the area . Very poor design of any new landscape features .

Q11. **You can upload any files or images here**

not answered

Q12. **Please provide any final comments**

not answered



Respondent No: 3

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 10, 2020 15:35:53 pm

Last Seen: Jul 10, 2020 15:35:53 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?** No

Q2. **Your Name** Alison Dodds

Q3. **Your address** [REDACTED]

Q4. **Postcode** 2431

Q5. **Phone Contact** not answered

Q6. **Are you a** Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. **How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?**

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Somewhat agree
Create a safe and accessible space	Somewhat agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Somewhat agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Somewhat agree
Enhance the area	Somewhat agree
Protect the natural beauty	Somewhat agree

Q8. **Please provide any comments to support your response**

1. The plans need to include a safe connection between the park (between the church and new units) and the back creek bridge. The park is used for pedestrian access from Gregory Street to Back Creek. In the revised upgrade plans, there is still no safe crossing for pedestrians once they walk through the park and arrive at the Back Creek parking area. 2. The plans need to include safe pedestrian access along Buchanan Drive to back creek. This is a very busy pedestrian area. Should either be a shared pedestrian/traffic zone, or need to have a separated, wide pedestrian area along the side of Buchanan Drive. 3. Cars currently drive too fast along Buchanan Drive to the fishing spot at the northern. If the road was made one-way in front of the cafe (travelling south), then cars would need to slow down to access the fishing spot. The revised upgrade plans show two-way in front of the cafe. There are too many pedestrians in this area for two-way vehicle movement. 4. The pedestrian path from the bridge to the fishing spot needs to be wide. People with double prams, joggers, older walkers, etc. all walk along this strip so it should be as wide as possible to cater for safe, two-way accessible (pedestrian) movement .

Q9. **Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades**

The larger green space near the bridge

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

Please refer to the four points I made at question 8 above. This is a great opportunity to make the area safe for all, to reduce the speed and dominance of cars, and to connect our walking routes around south west rocks (that is, to connect to Gregory Street via the park and to Fig Tree Lane via Buchanan Drive, as well as to horseshoe bay.) I understand there is also a planned pathway north of the new units through the bushland. A connection to this pathway should also be included in the revised plan.

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

Although it is beyond the scope of the back creek project, safe access for pedestrians between Fig Tree Lane and Gordon Young Drive and the direction of the primary school needs to be considered. There isn't a pathway on the western side of Gregory Street (between Fig tree Lane and Gordon Young Drive). There is a narrow path along the eastern side of Gregory Street (which isn't of sufficient width to cater for path users - the road is often used instead). And there isn't a safe crossing point from the eastern side of Gregory Street to Fig Tree Lane. A refuge or other safe point for pedestrians would be very helpful.



Respondent No: 4

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 10, 2020 23:21:35 pm

Last Seen: Jul 10, 2020 23:21:35 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?** No

Q2. **Your Name** Michael Talbot

Q3. **Your address** [REDACTED]

Q4. **Postcode** 2431

Q5. **Phone Contact** [REDACTED]

Q6. **Are you a** Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. **How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?**

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Somewhat agree
Create a safe and accessible space	Definitely agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Somewhat agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Definitely agree
Enhance the area	Definitely agree
Protect the natural beauty	Somewhat agree

Q8. **Please provide any comments to support your response**

not answered

Q9. **Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades**

Toilet block - hopefully Male/Female/Disabled

Q10. **Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns**

not answered

Q11. **You can upload any files or images here** not answered

Q12. **Please provide any final comments**

not answered



Respondent No: 5

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 11, 2020 09:53:11 am

Last Seen: Jul 11, 2020 09:53:11 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?** No

Q2. **Your Name** Denise Taylor

Q3. **Your address** [REDACTED]

Q4. **Postcode** 2431

Q5. **Phone Contact** [REDACTED]

Q6. **Are you a** Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. **How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?**

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Definitely agree
Create a safe and accessible space	Definitely agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Definitely agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Definitely agree
Enhance the area	Definitely agree
Protect the natural beauty	Somewhat agree

Q8. **Please provide any comments to support your response**

Great improvement on previous facilities.

Q9. **Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades**

Organised parking. Pathway from cafe to parking and creek. Picnic facilities. Parking and pathways for the disabled.

Q10. **Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns**

Will there be a connecting footpath from the walkway around Point Briner?

Q11. **You can upload any files or images here** not answered

Q12. **Please provide any final comments**

It will be great to provide adequate facilities for this increasingly popular swimming, kayaking, walking and fishing area.



Respondent No: 6

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 11, 2020 12:05:47 pm

Last Seen: Jul 11, 2020 12:05:47 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report? No

Q2. Your Name Gaye Doyle

Q3. Your address [REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode 2431

Q5. Phone Contact [REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Definitely agree
Create a safe and accessible space	Definitely agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Definitely agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Definitely agree
Enhance the area	Definitely agree
Protect the natural beauty	Definitely agree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

I am very pleased with the revised plan

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

Parking is a major issue especially for people with limited mobility. The revised plan has provided for multiple use of the available space which is very pleasing.

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

not answered

Q11. You can upload any files or images here not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

Well done KSC.



Respondent No: 7

Login: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Responded At: Jul 12, 2020 17:16:09 pm

Last Seen: Jul 12, 2020 06:22:55 am

IP Address: [REDACTED]

Q1. **Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?** Yes

Q2. **Your Name** [REDACTED]

Q3. **Your address** [REDACTED]

Q4. **Postcode** 2431

Q5. **Phone Contact** [REDACTED]

Q6. **Are you a** Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. **How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?**

- | | |
|--|-------------------|
| Will meet the needs of the community into the future | Somewhat agree |
| Create a safe and accessible space | Somewhat agree |
| Provide a balance between green space and parking | Somewhat agree |
| Create a space for the community to be proud of | Somewhat agree |
| Enhance the area | Somewhat disagree |
| Protect the natural beauty | Somewhat agree |

Q8. **Please provide any comments to support your response**

I cannot see the need to dredge so that there is deep enough water for boating vessels to dock. On the high tide this area is so popular with swimming that to introduce facilities for marine vessels to dock is a no go.

Q9. **Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades**

The eventual inclusion of a shower off the amenities. Allocated parking

Q10. **Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns**

I do not see the need for two turning circles especially outside amenities. This would be a high traffic flow area so why try to get traffic to turn here when it is full with people especially children? This has never been a problem in the past. The one up the end near the original wharf functions fine.

Q11. **You can upload any files or images here** not answered

Q12. **Please provide any final comments**

not answered



Respondent No: 8

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 12, 2020 17:31:10 pm

Last Seen: Jul 12, 2020 17:31:10 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?** Yes

Q2. **Your Name** [REDACTED]

Q3. **Your address** [REDACTED]

Q4. **Postcode** 2431

Q5. **Phone Contact** [REDACTED]

Q6. **Are you a** Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. **How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?**

- | | |
|--|------------------|
| Will meet the needs of the community into the future | Somewhat agree |
| Create a safe and accessible space | Somewhat agree |
| Provide a balance between green space and parking | Somewhat agree |
| Create a space for the community to be proud of | Somewhat agree |
| Enhance the area | Somewhat agree |
| Protect the natural beauty | Definitely agree |

Q8. **Please provide any comments to support your response**

The plan looks fairly simple and does not make major changes to the area. Have the local Aboriginal people who live at Figtree been consulted about this plan?

Q9. **Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades**

No major changes and makes good green space.

Q10. **Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns**

not answered

Q11. **You can upload any files or images here** not answered

Q12. **Please provide any final comments**

What are the plans re dogs? I am not impressed with the amount of dog droppings left in this area which is a disgusting health hazard. There needs to be very clear signage about dogs on leads, fines re not picking up droppings etc and policing of the rules re dogs.



Respondent No: 9

Login: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Responded At: Jul 13, 2020 07:24:00 am

Last Seen: Jul 12, 2020 21:18:49 pm

IP Address: [REDACTED]

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report? Yes

Q2. Your Name [REDACTED]

Q3. Your address [REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode 2431

Q5. Phone Contact [REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

- | | |
|--|----------------------------|
| Will meet the needs of the community into the future | Somewhat agree |
| Create a safe and accessible space | Neither agree nor disagree |
| Provide a balance between green space and parking | Somewhat agree |
| Create a space for the community to be proud of | Somewhat agree |
| Enhance the area | Somewhat agree |
| Protect the natural beauty | Neither agree nor disagree |

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

A save walking/cycle path needs to be made along road going to creek

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

not answered

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

A save walking/cycle path needs to be made along road going to creek

Q11. You can upload any files or images here not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

not answered



Respondent No: 10

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 13, 2020 08:52:58 am

Last Seen: Jul 13, 2020 08:52:58 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?

No

Q2. Your Name

Milton Matthews

Q3. Your address

[REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode

2431

Q5. Phone Contact

[REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a

Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Somewhat agree
Create a safe and accessible space	Somewhat agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Somewhat agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Definitely agree
Enhance the area	Definitely agree
Protect the natural beauty	Definitely agree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

I feel that's maybe we have lost some car space, the reason I mention this is —Holiday being the most time we have to accomodate large in take os people plus cars, more so if the main beach and horse shoe beach are closed ,because of blue bottles (A couple of years ago I sent to.the mayor a photo of the car park, with both side of the road and two Down the centre)

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

I am very impressed with them, The hole layout ls very acceptable,With a little bit of fine tuning,I do believe this area with be the show case of s.w.r. Let's get it going

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

I did not notice if the road from Gregory street had been widen or not ,Also is the footpath all the way up tp Gregory street

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

Haven been involved with area since the Lions club (I am a member) sited the ramp ,and the small grassed area,The only thing I can say is a BIG thank you to the Kempsey Shire Council for forging ahead with this wonderful project ,Once again on behalf of the users



Respondent No: 11

Login: jmarsh

Email: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Responded At: Jul 13, 2020 10:07:29 am

Last Seen: Jul 07, 2020 06:05:39 am

IP Address: [REDACTED]

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?

No

Q2. Your Name

Jessica Marsh

Q3. Your address

[REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode

2431

Q5. Phone Contact

[REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a

Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Definitely agree
Create a safe and accessible space	Definitely agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Definitely agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Definitely agree
Enhance the area	Definitely agree
Protect the natural beauty	Definitely agree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

not answered

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

The addition of bbq areas and tables will make the area even more popular and usable at all times of the year, the amenities are a fantastic addition

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

Directional traffic and parking might be worthwhile (make people go clockwise around centre parking for example) as cars go pretty fast through there currently. Hopefully there will be plenty of room for the cafe parking, the island/ centre parking and the creek frontage parking.

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

Thank you for making one of the most popular places in town even more appealing and accessible for all. I have noticed some of the plastic caps on the new platform have been smashed unfortunately, maybe they need to be steel? people are putting rubbish in them currently



Respondent No: 12

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 14, 2020 16:14:44 pm

Last Seen: Jul 14, 2020 16:14:44 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?

No

Q2. Your Name

James Crisp

Q3. Your address

[REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode

2431

Q5. Phone Contact

[REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a

Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Definitely agree
Create a safe and accessible space	Somewhat agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Somewhat agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Somewhat agree
Enhance the area	Definitely agree
Protect the natural beauty	Definitely agree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

The popularity of the area to visitors and residents of SWR requires a thoughtful, user friendly plan so that the natural beauty of the area is preserved.

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

It will allow access for pedestrians, cyclists and drivers of vehicles to all enjoy the area safely and little impact on the natural environment.

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

The shared walk, cycleway will need to be wide enough to allow safe passage for all users. How it links up with existing tracks around Horseshoe will need to be carefully planned and constructed. It will be used by many on foot and on cycles.

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

The proposed plan is a great initiative to provide residents, visitors and tourists a great attraction to enjoy.



Respondent No: 13

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 14, 2020 16:36:05 pm

Last Seen: Jul 14, 2020 16:36:05 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?

No

Q2. Your Name

mark lenard

Q3. Your address

[REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode

2431

Q5. Phone Contact

[REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a

Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Neither agree nor disagree
Create a safe and accessible space	Somewhat agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Somewhat agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Somewhat agree
Enhance the area	Somewhat agree
Protect the natural beauty	Somewhat agree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

disabled parking is a must

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

people and cars will be seperated with new pathways

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

make sure dogs are not allowed to roam free on the new grassed areas and rangers start fining dog owners not doing the right thing

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

not answered



Respondent No: 14

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 16, 2020 20:31:53 pm

Last Seen: Jul 16, 2020 20:31:53 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?** Yes

Q2. **Your Name** [REDACTED]

Q3. **Your address** [REDACTED]

Q4. **Postcode** 2440

Q5. **Phone Contact** [REDACTED]

Q6. **Are you a** Resident/Ratepayer in Kempsey Macleay
Tourist / Day visitor

Q7. **How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?**

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Somewhat disagree
Create a safe and accessible space	Somewhat agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Neither agree nor disagree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Somewhat agree
Enhance the area	Somewhat disagree
Protect the natural beauty	Neither agree nor disagree

Q8. **Please provide any comments to support your response**

I feel the first design had more aspects closer to the water's edge with use of green space

Q9. **Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades**

Marked parking. More green space near water.

Q10. **Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns**

not answered

Q11. **You can upload any files or images here** not answered

Q12. **Please provide any final comments**

not answered



Respondent No: 15

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 18, 2020 08:21:48 am

Last Seen: Jul 18, 2020 08:21:48 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?

No

Q2. Your Name

Nancy Pattison

Q3. Your address

[REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode

2431

Q5. Phone Contact

[REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a

Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Neither agree nor disagree
Create a safe and accessible space	Somewhat agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Somewhat agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Somewhat disagree
Enhance the area	Neither agree nor disagree
Protect the natural beauty	Neither agree nor disagree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

We would like to see more Aboriginal artwork and features as this is apart of the figtree Aboriginal community and the community access this area for food and connection on a daily basis.

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

Needs more Aboriginal input including in construction

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

Why is there no local South West Rocks Aboriginal inclusion? None of the footbridge was even offered to the Aboriginal community to create on and use.

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

include The Aboriginal community in all aspects including construction and creative design .



Respondent No: 16

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 18, 2020 08:21:52 am

Last Seen: Jul 18, 2020 08:21:52 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?

Yes

Q2. Your Name

██████████

Q3. Your address

████████████████████

Q4. Postcode

4211

Q5. Phone Contact

██████████████████

Q6. Are you a

None of the above

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Definitely disagree
Create a safe and accessible space	Definitely disagree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Definitely disagree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Definitely disagree
Enhance the area	Definitely disagree
Protect the natural beauty	Definitely disagree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

You are damaging the community and tye uniqueness of what is SWR by developing!

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

Nothing

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

Developing will be cause for future pollution, disruption of natural habitat and impact community

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

Don't be another Byron Bay



Respondent No: 17

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 18, 2020 13:46:49 pm

Last Seen: Jul 18, 2020 13:46:49 pm

IP Address: n/a

- Q1. **Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?** Yes
- Q2. **Your Name** [REDACTED]
- Q3. **Your address** [REDACTED]
- Q4. **Postcode** 2446
- Q5. **Phone Contact** [REDACTED]
- Q6. **Are you a** Tourist / Day visitor

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

- | | |
|--|------------------|
| Will meet the needs of the community into the future | Definitely agree |
| Create a safe and accessible space | Definitely agree |
| Provide a balance between green space and parking | Definitely agree |
| Create a space for the community to be proud of | Definitely agree |
| Enhance the area | Definitely agree |
| Protect the natural beauty | Definitely agree |

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

not answered

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

not answered

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

not answered

Q11. You can upload any files or images here not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

not answered



Respondent No: 18

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 19, 2020 10:52:57 am

Last Seen: Jul 19, 2020 10:52:57 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?

No

Q2. Your Name

Sarah

Q3. Your address

[REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode

2431

Q5. Phone Contact

[REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a

Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Somewhat agree
Create a safe and accessible space	Somewhat agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Somewhat agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Neither agree nor disagree
Enhance the area	Neither agree nor disagree
Protect the natural beauty	Somewhat agree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

Maybe picnic tables could be further back from the water entrance and trees could be planted there instead to provide natural shade without blocking the natural beauty of the creek. When allowing large developments in South West Rocks the council should impose a levy for these companies to foot the bill in upgrading community spaces. A footpath needs to be included in the design from Gregory street along Buchanan Drive. I choose to walk to Back Creek with my child in a pram because it is better for the environment and easier than parking. I find it dangerous when walking along Buchanan Drive from Gregory street under the current conditions. Please provide bins nearer to eating areas so people are more likely to make the right choice.

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

The inclusion of more picnic tables. Footpath towards the ocean. Parking bays away from the waters edge.

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

As per question 8. If new developments want access to places nearby they should have to include these in their own designs of their developments. It is disappointing that large developments are allowed in south west rocks even when council have documented residents concerns about over-development of the area. It is even more disappointing when these developments block the natural beauty for permanent residents. Please consider updating other local infrastructure before allowing for larger populations through the approval of housing developments. We need improved access to larger local library facilities and bulk-bulled health care. Our current conditions are limited without the stress of larger town populations.

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

I would like to be contacted by a council member on how local residents can help to improve access to bulk-billed health care and the development of a larger library (which we have been waiting for since 2009 at least).



Respondent No: 19

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 25, 2020 13:18:25 pm

Last Seen: Jul 25, 2020 13:18:25 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?** Yes

Q2. **Your Name** [REDACTED]

Q3. **Your address** [REDACTED]

Q4. **Postcode** 2431

Q5. **Phone Contact** [REDACTED]

Q6. **Are you a** Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. **How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?**

- | | |
|--|----------------------------|
| Will meet the needs of the community into the future | Somewhat disagree |
| Create a safe and accessible space | Neither agree nor disagree |
| Provide a balance between green space and parking | Definitely disagree |
| Create a space for the community to be proud of | Neither agree nor disagree |
| Enhance the area | Neither agree nor disagree |
| Protect the natural beauty | Neither agree nor disagree |

Q8. **Please provide any comments to support your response**

I do like the idea of increasing the green space in front of the boat skid area, however by doing this there is a reduction in car spaces. In the very busy Christmas period vehicles park - used to be able to park (8 across) of now where the amenity block is and is now reduced to 3 spaces. Along the creek (left of bridge) a further 6 vehicles spaces where available, then to the right of the bridge a further 8 which now has already been reduced. Locals/tourists have always then park two deep filtering to single deep parking extending along the rock wall and then parallel parking along the rock wall to the jetty. It seems that this parallel parking is lost, the parking already lost where the toilet block is and more parking to be lost on the left hand side of the bridge as that is going to become green space. Overflow parking then continues both sides on the access road to back creek. The amount of vehicles actually uses this space in peak times to what is now planned is a significant reduction. I understand the balancing act that is trying to be achieved, but are you removing all the available space for parallel parking along the rock wall as well to have a walking path and an extension of the green space.

Q9. **Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades**

The increase green space at the foot bridge - but at what loss

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

Along the rock wall from the foot bridge to the jetty there should be safe access to the creek. The installation of steps built into the rock wall would provide further entry points to the creek and utilize the already green space on the café side. Currently you can only access the creek near the footbridge, by the installation of at least two step zones (similar to Crescent Head) along the rock wall you are naturally spreading the access out and not creating a bottle neck at the foot bridge and encouraging/opening up the already existing greenspace/picnic area for greater useage. The whole area needs to be a 20KM hour zone or less

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

The traffic control plan needs more work to identify parking for the entire area - what is now available space (i.e. along the rock wall) looks like it will no longer exists and this is not very clear for the community to make informed comments



Respondent No: 20

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 26, 2020 10:40:53 am

Last Seen: Jul 26, 2020 10:40:53 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?

No

Q2. Your Name

Caroline Adams

Q3. Your address

[REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode

2431

Q5. Phone Contact

[REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a

Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Neither agree nor disagree
Create a safe and accessible space	Somewhat agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Definitely disagree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Somewhat disagree
Enhance the area	Somewhat disagree
Protect the natural beauty	Definitely disagree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

I commend Council for the new amenities block which is both attractive with its gumleaf motif, and practical. The new footbridge is excellent too, keeping the visual style of the old one with modern materials. But I feel 58 car parks in the revised plan of the Southern end is far, far too many and risks "pave paradise and put up a parking lot" (Joni Mitchell). I suggest the 6 northern bays on this plan be replaced with green space, and the central bank bank of 35 spaces be reduced with trees to provide shade to park under (preferably local natives of appropriate height so they don't block views from cafe and appartments behind). Or you could provide more shaded parking spaces on Ocean Drive away from the foreshore (but please don't remove any of the beautiful old native trees there).

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

I like the green space closer to the foreshore instead of existing car parking there; bike parking (which could be moved away from the foreshore); disabled spaces near the new amenities; lots of picnic shelters and BBQ; walking access paths from Gregory Street, dinghy skid (presumably for kayaks).

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

As in 8 above, my main concern is that the area will be swamped with cars and ruin the natural beauty. Future planning should include public transport options so the small town of SWR can be accessed with fewer cars. I wonder whether a turning circle for a small bus at Back Creek may be good for public transport, including for the elderly who can no longer drive? (A small bus could do a cycle around SWR including the town centre, the various housing developments and Coles.). SWR has an aging population and future planning must include car-free options.

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

I commend Council for revising your thinking and asking for more feedback. It is so important to get these things right.



Respondent No: 21

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 26, 2020 20:42:30 pm

Last Seen: Jul 26, 2020 20:42:30 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report? Yes

Q2. Your Name [REDACTED]

Q3. Your address [REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode 2431

Q5. Phone Contact [REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

- | | |
|--|------------------|
| Will meet the needs of the community into the future | Somewhat agree |
| Create a safe and accessible space | Somewhat agree |
| Provide a balance between green space and parking | Definitely agree |
| Create a space for the community to be proud of | Somewhat agree |
| Enhance the area | Somewhat agree |
| Protect the natural beauty | Definitely agree |

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

This plan supports green space but with the normal seasonal increase of visitors to the area there is not enough parking, there will be more parking along road if not managed or policed. The owners in Gregory St appartments will have issues with parking above the area during peak season too if it is not well advertised and policed.

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

More natural space and usable creek setting area, supporting the natural habitat of back creek. Safer to access area with less traffic space.

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

How this impacts surrounding residents with less parking space.

Q11. You can upload any files or images here not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

not answered



Respondent No: 22

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 26, 2020 20:54:55 pm

Last Seen: Jul 26, 2020 20:54:55 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?

No

Q2. Your Name

Mario Criado

Q3. Your address

[REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode

2431

Q5. Phone Contact

[REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a

Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Somewhat agree
Create a safe and accessible space	Somewhat agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Neither agree nor disagree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Somewhat agree
Enhance the area	Somewhat agree
Protect the natural beauty	Definitely agree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

We need to look after the small businesses in the area as a key focus as they help our region survive financially.

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

not answered

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

not answered

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

not answered



Respondent No: 23

Login: Alan Yuille

Email: [REDACTED]

Responded At: Jul 27, 2020 22:18:24 pm

Last Seen: Jul 27, 2020 12:03:34 pm

IP Address: [REDACTED]

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?

No

Q2. Your Name

Alan Yuille

Q3. Your address

[REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode

2105

Q5. Phone Contact

[REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a

Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Local business owner

Resident/Ratepayer in Kempsey Macleay

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future Somewhat disagree

Create a safe and accessible space Neither agree nor disagree

Provide a balance between green space and parking Definitely disagree

Create a space for the community to be proud of Definitely disagree

Enhance the area Definitely disagree

Protect the natural beauty Definitely disagree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

Far too much space, hard surface devoted to motor cars, not nearly enough greenery.

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

An improvement to the present vast amount of asphalt, but the design shows far too little canopy planting, far too much roadway.

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

Not sure about the hard surfacing materials proposed, nor methods to slow vehicle movements. At the moment the paved area looks like a drag race track. We need to make pedestrian movement the dominant movement, cars being there on good behaviour.

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

The new amenities block is very attractive, adds to the space. Please ensure that the final design of the area reflects this great start.



Respondent No: 24

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Jul 29, 2020 13:21:25 pm

Last Seen: Jul 29, 2020 13:21:25 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?

No

Q2. Your Name

Ross Allan

Q3. Your address

[REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode

2431

Q5. Phone Contact

[REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a

Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Somewhat agree
Create a safe and accessible space	Somewhat agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Somewhat agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Somewhat agree
Enhance the area	Somewhat agree
Protect the natural beauty	Somewhat agree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

not answered

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

not answered

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

Would like to see at least two outdoor public showers adjacent to the ramp near the foot bridge.

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

not answered



Respondent No: 25

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Aug 04, 2020 05:38:24 am

Last Seen: Aug 04, 2020 05:38:24 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report?

Yes

Q2. Your Name

[REDACTED]

Q3. Your address

[REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode

2440

Q5. Phone Contact

[REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a

Resident/Ratepayer in Kempsey Macleay

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Somewhat agree
Create a safe and accessible space	Somewhat agree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Somewhat agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Definitely agree
Enhance the area	Definitely agree
Protect the natural beauty	Neither agree nor disagree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

Please keep the natural flow and not have any distractions to this natural space

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

.

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

not answered

Q11. You can upload any files or images here

not answered

Q12. Please provide any final comments

not answered



Respondent No: 26

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Aug 04, 2020 21:37:24 pm

Last Seen: Aug 04, 2020 21:37:24 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report? Yes

Q2. Your Name [REDACTED]

Q3. Your address [REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode 2431

Q5. Phone Contact [REDACTED]

Q6. Are you a
Local business owner
Local tourism operator
Resident/ Ratepayer in SWR

Q7. How strongly do you agree that the revised upgrade plans?

Will meet the needs of the community into the future	Neither agree nor disagree
Create a safe and accessible space	Definitely disagree
Provide a balance between green space and parking	Somewhat agree
Create a space for the community to be proud of	Somewhat agree
Enhance the area	Somewhat agree
Protect the natural beauty	Definitely disagree

Q8. Please provide any comments to support your response

[REDACTED] has operated in this open space during peak tourist times for the past 3 years and has delivered 5-star service to over 4,000 customers, I have strong concerns around the plans as a current operating business. Will meet the needs of the community into the future: The dinghy skid raises safety issues along with continual maintenance in the way of oyster removal, it also doesn't give any consideration for young families that use the sand bank on high tide. Since operating the feedback from visiting tourists and community members has been how lovely the sand sloped entrance has been because of safe toddler area along with ease and practicality in launching kayaks and Stand up paddle boards. Create a safe and accessible space: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Protect the natural beauty: The concrete dinghy skid takes away the natural beauty of the area along with sandy entrance to creek

Q9. Tell us what you like the most about the proposed upgrades

having a carpark with a traffic separation scheme along with more grassed area for the public and visitors to enjoy.

Q10. Please let us know if we have missed something, or you have any concerns

Placement of garbage bin need to be looked at. The placement of the two picnic shelters closest to the bridge will clash with the pedestrian flow moving to and from the water.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



Respondent No: 27

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Aug 05, 2020 02:30:57 am

Last Seen: Aug 05, 2020 02:30:57 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Do you wish to have your submission made confidential in the Council report? Yes

Q2. Your Name [REDACTED]

Q3. Your address [REDACTED]

Q4. Postcode 2440

Q5. ~~What is the name of the business you are representing?~~ [REDACTED] Kempsey Macleay

