


Appendix B. Hydrologic Assessment Background Information

Figure B-1 WBNM model catchment map. From WMA (2009)



Insert Figure B-2 March 2001 flood isohyetal map, from WMA (2009)




Figure B-3 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Kempsey – 1 Day Duration




Figure B-4 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Kempsey – 2 Day Duration




Figure B-5 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Kempsey – 3 Day Duration




Figure B-6 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Kempsey – 4 Day Duration




Figure B-7 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Kempsey – 5 Day Duration




Figure B-8 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Smoky Cape – 1 Day Duration




Figure B-9 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Smoky Cape – 2 Day Duration




Figure B-10 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Smoky Cape – 3 Day Duration




Figure B-11 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Smoky Cape – 4 Day Duration




Figure B-12 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Smoky Cape – 5 Day Duration




Figure B-13 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Eungai – 1 Day Duration




Figure B-14 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Eungai – 2 Day Duration




Figure B-15 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Eungai – 3 Day Duration




Figure B-16 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Eungai – 4 Day Duration




Figure B-17 Comparison of ARR and At-Site Design Rainfall – Eungai – 5 Day Duration

